

A Appendix

Lemma 2. (U-STATISTIC WITH ESTIMATED PARAMETER) *Let $\{Z_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be a simple random sample drawn from some population F_Z and $\phi(Z_i, Z_j; \beta, \gamma)$ be a function from $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ to $\mathbb{R}^J$ indexed by $\beta \in \mathbb{B}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ (with $\mathbb{B}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{\dim(\beta)}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{\dim(\gamma)}$ respectively). Suppose that $\phi(z_1, z_2; \beta, \gamma)$ is twice continuously differentiable in γ for all $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ with*

$$\mathbb{E} [\|\phi(Z_1, Z_2; \beta, \gamma)\|_2] < \infty \quad (117)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \frac{\partial \phi(Z_1, Z_2; \beta, \gamma)}{\partial \gamma'} \right\|_F \right] < \infty \quad (118)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma'} \left\{ \frac{\partial \phi(Z_1, Z_2; \beta, \gamma)}{\partial \gamma_p} \right\} \right\|_F \right] < \infty, \quad p = 1, \dots, \dim(\gamma). \quad (119)$$

Then, for $\hat{\gamma}$ a $\sqrt{N}$ -consistent estimate of γ_0 , and defining $\bar{\phi}_N(\beta, \gamma) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \binom{N}{2}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=i+1}^{N-1} \phi(Z_i, Z_j; \beta, \gamma)$ and $\Phi(\beta, \gamma) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E} [\phi(Z_1, Z_2; \beta, \gamma)]$, we have

$$\sqrt{N} [\bar{\phi}_N(\beta, \hat{\gamma}) - \Phi(\beta, \gamma_0)] = \frac{2}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N \psi_0(Z_i; \beta, \gamma_0) + \Gamma_{0, \beta \gamma}(\beta) \sqrt{N} (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma_0) + o_p(1) \quad (120)$$

where $\phi_1(z; \beta, \gamma) = \mathbb{E} [\phi(z, Z_1; \beta, \gamma)]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0(Z_1; \beta, \gamma) &= \phi_1(Z_1; \beta, \gamma) - \Phi(\beta, \gamma) \\ \Gamma_{0, \beta \gamma}(\beta) &= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial \phi(Z_1, Z_2; \beta, \gamma_0)}{\partial \gamma'} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 2

A Taylor expansion of $\bar{\phi}_N(\beta, \hat{\gamma})$ in $\hat{\gamma}$ about γ_0 yields, after some re-arrangement and centering,

$$\sqrt{N} [\bar{\phi}_N(\beta, \hat{\gamma}) - \Phi(\beta, \gamma_0)] = \sqrt{N} [\bar{\phi}_N(\beta, \gamma_0) - \Phi(\beta, \gamma_0)] + \Gamma_{N, \beta \gamma}(\beta, \bar{\gamma}) \sqrt{N} (\hat{\gamma} - \gamma_0), \quad (121)$$

with $\bar{\gamma}$ a mean value between $\hat{\gamma}$ and γ_0 which may vary across the rows of the Hessian $\Gamma_{N, \beta \gamma}(\beta, \gamma) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\partial \bar{\phi}_N(\beta, \gamma)}{\partial \gamma'}$. Next recall the definition of the $L_{2,1}$ norm:

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_{2,1} = \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\sum_{i=1}^m |a_{ij}|^2 \right]^{1/2}. \quad (122)$$

The mean value theorem, as well as compatibility of the Frobenius matrix norm with the Euclidean vector norm, gives for any γ and γ^* both in $\mathbb{C}$,

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \bar{\phi}_N(\beta, \gamma)}{\partial \gamma'} - \frac{\partial \bar{\phi}_N(\beta, \gamma^*)}{\partial \gamma'} \right\|_{2,1} \leq \sum_{p=1}^{\dim(\gamma)} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma'} \left\{ \frac{\partial \bar{\phi}_N(\beta, \gamma)}{\partial \gamma_p} \right\} \right\|_F \|\gamma - \gamma^*\|_2. \quad (123)$$

Observe that $\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma'} \left\{ \frac{\partial \bar{\phi}_N(\beta, \gamma)}{\partial \gamma_p} \right\}$ is a matrix of U-statistics with kernels whose first moments are finite (by condition 106 above). By Serfling (1980, Theorem 5.4A) these U-statistics converge in probability and hence, from (123)

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \bar{\phi}_N(\beta, \gamma)}{\partial \gamma'} - \frac{\partial \bar{\phi}_N(\beta, \gamma^*)}{\partial \gamma'} \right\|_{2,1} \leq O_p(1) \cdot \|\gamma - \gamma^*\|_2.$$

This condition, as well compactness of $\mathbb{C}$, continuity of $\frac{\partial \bar{\phi}_N(\beta, \gamma)}{\partial \gamma}$ in γ , and condition (118), allow for an application of Lemma 2.9 in Newey & McFadden (1994) such that $\sup_{\gamma \in \mathbb{C}} \left\| \frac{\partial \bar{\phi}_N(\beta, \gamma)}{\partial \gamma'} - \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}(\beta, \gamma) \right\|_F \xrightarrow{p} 0$ with $\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}(\beta, \gamma) = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial \phi(Z_1, Z_2; \beta, \gamma)}{\partial \gamma'} \right]$. This, along with consistency of $\hat{\gamma}$ for γ_0 , is enough to ensure that $\frac{\partial \bar{\phi}_N(\beta, \hat{\gamma})}{\partial \gamma'} \xrightarrow{p} \Gamma_{0, \beta\gamma}(\beta)$. Equation (120) then follows by observing that $\bar{\phi}_N(\beta, \gamma_0) - \Phi(\beta, \gamma_0)$ is a vector of mean zero U-Statistics with Hájek projections equal to the corresponding components of the first term to the right of the equality in (120) (see, for example, Theorem 5.3.3. of Serfling (1980) and invoke condition (117) above). See Mao (2018, Lemma S1) for a related Lemma.

Order of variances and covariances for p^{th} order induced subgraph frequencies

Here I present the order of the covariance between empirical subgraph frequencies, where the subgraph is of arbitrary order. For general p^{th} -order graphlets R and S we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}(P_N(R), P_N(S)) &= \binom{N}{p}^{-2} \sum_{q=1}^p \binom{N}{p} \binom{p}{q} \binom{N-p}{p-q} \Sigma_q(R, S) \\ &= \binom{N}{p}^{-2} \sum_{q=1}^p \binom{N}{p} \binom{p}{q} \binom{N-p}{p-q} \Xi(\mathcal{W}_{q,R,S}) \\ &\quad - \left[1 - \frac{(N-p)!^2}{N!(N-2p)!} \right] P(R) P(S). \end{aligned} \quad (124)$$

Normalizing by ρ_N raised to the number of edges in R and S , respectively $\rho_N^{e(R)}$ and $\rho_N^{e(S)}$, yields

$$\mathbb{C}(\tilde{P}_N(R), \tilde{P}_N(S)) = \left\{ \underbrace{\binom{N}{p}^{-2} \sum_{q=1}^{p-1} \binom{N}{p} \binom{p}{q} \binom{N-p}{p-q} \left[\frac{\Xi(\mathcal{W}_{q,R,S})}{\rho_N^{e(R)} \rho_N^{e(S)}} \right]}_{O(N^{-q} \rho_N^{-e(R)} \rho_N^{-e(S)}) O(\Xi(\mathcal{W}_{q,R,S}))} - \left[1 - \frac{(N-p)!^2}{N! (N-2p)!} \right] \tilde{P}(R) \tilde{P}(S) \right\}. \quad (125)$$

There are $2p - q$ vertices in each element of $\mathcal{W}_{q,R,S}$.

Case 1 ($q = 1$):

If $q = 1$, then $e(W) = e(R) + e(S)$ for all $W \in \mathcal{W}_{q,R,S}$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} O(N^{-q} \rho_N^{-e(R)} \rho_N^{-e(S)}) O(\Xi(\mathcal{W}_{1,R,S})) &= O(N^{-1} \rho_N^{-e(R)} \rho_N^{-e(S)}) O(\rho_N^{e(R)} \rho_N^{e(S)}) \\ &= O(N^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Case ($q = p$):

If $q = p$, then $\Xi(\mathcal{W}_{q,R,S}) = 0$ unless $R = S$. In that case, the “variance case”, we have that $e(W) = p$ since $W = R = S$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} O(N^{-q} \rho_N^{-2e(R)}) O(\Xi(\mathcal{W}_{p,R})) &= O(N^{-p} \rho_N^{-2e(R)}) O(\rho_N^{e(R)}) \\ &= O(N^{-p} \rho_N^{-e(R)}). \end{aligned}$$

If R is a p -cycle, then $p = e(R)$, yielding the simplification $O(N^{-p} \rho_N^{-e(R)}) = O(\lambda_N^{-p})$.

If R is a tree, then $e(R) = p - 1$, yielding the simplification $O(N^{-p} \rho_N^{-e(R)}) = O(N^{-1} \lambda_N^{-(p-1)})$.

Case $(1 < q < p)$:

For $q = 2, \dots, p-1$ we have that $e(W) = e(R) + e(S) - (q-1)$ if R and S are both p -cycles so that

$$\begin{aligned} O\left(N^{-q} \rho_N^{-e(R)} \rho_N^{-e(S)}\right) O(Q(\mathcal{W}_{q,R,S})) &= O\left(N^{-q} \rho_N^{-e(R)} \rho_N^{-e(S)}\right) O\left(\rho_N^{e(R)+e(S)-(q-1)}\right) \\ &= O\left(N^{-q} \rho_N^{-(q-1)}\right) \\ &= O\left(N^{-1} \lambda_N^{-(q-1)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Whereas we have that $e(W) \geq e(R) + e(S) - (q-1)$ if R and S are both trees, or one is a tree and the other a p -cycle, so that

$$\begin{aligned} O\left(N^{-q} \rho_N^{-e(R)} \rho_N^{-e(S)}\right) O(\Xi(\mathcal{W}_{q,R,S})) &\leq O\left(N^{-q} \rho_N^{-e(R)} \rho_N^{-e(S)}\right) O\left(\rho_N^{e(R)+e(S)-(q-1)}\right) \\ &= O\left(N^{-1} \lambda_N^{-(q-1)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 3

Without loss of generality set $i = 1$. By the definition of degree we have that

$$\mathbb{E}[D_{1+}^m] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{j=2}^N D_{1j}\right)^m\right],$$

the multinomial theorem allows us to write the term inside the expectation above as

$$D_{1+}^m = \left(\sum_{j=2}^N D_{1j}\right)^m = \sum_{q_2+\dots+q_N=m} \binom{m}{q_2, q_3, \dots, q_N} \prod_{j=2}^N D_{1j}^{q_j} \quad (126)$$

where $\binom{m}{q_2, q_3, \dots, q_N} = \frac{m!}{q_2! q_3! \dots q_N!}$. Since D_{1j} is binary $D_{1j}^{q_j} = D_{1j}$ for all $q_j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and zero when $q_j = 0$. This implies that $\prod_{j=2}^N D_{1j}^{q_j} = D_{1j_1} \times \dots \times D_{1j_k}$ for $D_{1j_1}, D_{1j_2}, \dots, D_{1j_k}$ the set of $1 \leq k \leq m$ link indicators with $q_j \geq 1$. Consider agents $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k$, with, say, $q_{j_1} = p_1$, $q_{j_2} = p_2, \dots, q_{j_k} = p_k$ such that $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{k,m}$, it follows that

$$\prod_{j=2}^N D_{1j}^{q_j} = D_{1j_1}^{p_1} \times \dots \times D_{1j_k}^{p_k}. \quad (127)$$

By the multinomial theorem the coefficient on (127) equals $\frac{m!}{p_1! \times \dots \times p_k!}$, but since

$$D_{1j_1}^{p_1} \times \dots \times D_{1j_k}^{p_k} = D_{1j_1}^{p_1^*} \times \dots \times D_{1j_k}^{p_k^*} = D_{1j_1} \times \dots \times D_{1j_k}$$

for any $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}^* \in \mathcal{P}_{k,m}$, the coefficient on $D_{1j_1} \times \dots \times D_{1j_k}$ after combining identical terms in (126) equals $\sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{k,m}} \frac{m!}{p_1! \times \dots \times p_k!}$. Putting these pieces together yields

$$\mathbb{E} [D_{i+}^m] = \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{k,m}} \frac{m!}{p_1! \times \dots \times p_k!} \right) \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_k} D_{ij_1} \times \dots \times D_{ij_k} \right]$$

The expectations of the summands in $\sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_k} D_{ij_1} \times \dots \times D_{ij_k}$ are all identical with cardinality $\binom{N-1}{k}$. The assertion follows.

References

- Acemoglu, D., Akcigit, U., & Kerr, W. (2016a). Networks and the macroeconomy: an empirical exploration. *NBER Macroeconomics Annual*, 31(1), 273 – 335.
- Acemoglu, D., Akcigit, U., & Kerr, W. R. (2016b). Innovation network. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(41), 11482 – 11488.
- Acemoglu, D., Carvalho, V., Ozdaglar, A., & Tahbaz-Salehi, A. (2012). The network origins of aggregate fluctuations. *Econometrica*, 80(5), 1977 – 2016.
- Ahern, K. R. & Harford, J. (2014). The importance of industry links in merger waves. *Journal of Finance*, 69(2), 527 – 576.
- Airoldi, E. M., Blei, D. M., Fienberg, S. E., & Xing, E. P. (2008). Mixed membership stochastic blockmodels. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 9, 1981 – 2014.
- Alatas, V., Banerjee, A., Chandrasekhar, A. G., Hanna, R., & Olken, B. A. (2016). Network structure and the aggregation of information: theory and evidence from indonesia. *American Economic Review*, 106(7), 1663 – 1704.
- Aldous, D. J. (1981). Representations for partially exchangeable arrays of random variables. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 11(4), 581 – 598.
- Ambrus, A., Mobius, M., & Szeidl, A. (2014). Consumption risk-sharing in social networks. *American Economic Review*, 104(1), 149 – 182.

- An, C., O'Malley, A. J., Rockmore, D. N., & Stock, C. D. (2018). Analysis of the u.s. patient referral network. *Statistics in Medicine*, 37(5), 847 – 866.
- Anderson, J. E. (2011). The gravity model. *Annual Review in Economics*, 3(1), 133 – 160.
- Andrews, D. W. & Soares, G. (2010). Inference for parameters defined by moment inequalities using generalized moment selection. *Econometrica*, 78(1), 119 – 157.
- Angrist, J. (2014). The perils of peer effects. *Labour Economics*, 30, 98–108.
- Apicella, C. L., Marlowe, F. W., Fowler, J. H., & Christakis, N. A. (2012). Social networks and cooperation in hunter-gatherers. *Nature*, 481(7382), 497 – 501.
- Arellano, M. & Bover, O. (1995). Another look at the instrumental variables estimation of error-component models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 68(1), 29 – 51.
- Arellano, M. & Hahn, J. (2007). *Advances in Economics and Econometrics: Theory and Applications*, volume 3, chapter Understanding bias in nonlinear panel models: some recent developments, (pp. 381 – 309). Cambridge University Press.: Cambridge.
- Arellano, M. & Honoré, B. (2001). *Handbook of Econometrics*, volume 5, chapter Panel data models: some recent developments, (pp. 3229 – 3296). North-Holland: Amsterdam.
- Aronow, P. M., Samii, C., & Assenova, V. A. (2017). Cluster-robust variance estimation for dyadic data. *Political Analysis*, 23(4), 564 – 577.
- Atalay, E., Hortaçsu, A., Roberts, J., & Syverson, C. (2011). Network structure of production. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(13), 5199 – 5202.
- Atalay, E., Hortaçsu, A., & Syverson, C. (2014). Vertical integration and input flows. *American Economic Review*, 104(4), 1120 – 1148.
- Athey, S., Eckles, D., & Imbens, G. W. (2018). Exact p-values for network interference. *Journal of American Statistical Association*, forthcoming.
- Attanasio, O., Barr, A., Cardenas, J. C., Genicot, G., & Meghir, C. (2012). Risk pooling, risk preferences, and social networks. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 4(2), 134 – 167.
- Auerbach, E. (2016). *A matching estimator for models with endogenous network formation*. Technical report, University of California - Berkeley.

- Badev, A. (2017). *Discrete games in endogenous networks: equilibria and policy*. Technical Report 1705.03137, arXiv.
- Bajari, P., Hong, H., Krainer, J., & Nekipelov, D. (2010a). Estimating static models of strategic interactions. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 28(4), 469 – 482.
- Bajari, P., Hong, H., & Nekipelov, D. (2013). *Advances in Economics and Econometrics, Tenth World Congress*, volume 3, chapter Game theory and econometrics: a survey of some recent research, (pp. 3 – 52). Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.
- Bajari, P., Hong, H., & Ryan, S. P. (2010b). Identification and estimation of a discrete game of complete information. *Econometrica*, 78(5), 1529 – 1568.
- Baldwin, R. & Taglioni, D. (2007). Trade effects of the euro: a comparison of estimators. *Journal of Economic Integration*, 22(4), 780 – 818.
- Banerjee, A., Chandrasekhar, A. G., Dulfo, E., & Jackson, M. O. (2013). The diffusion of microfinance. *Science*, 341(6144), 363 – 370.
- Barabási, A.-L. (2016). *Network Science*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Barabási, A.-L. & Albert, R. (1999). Emergence of scaling in random networks. *Science*, 286(5439), 509 – 512.
- Barabási, A.-L. & Bonabau, E. (2003). Scale-free networks. *Scientific American*, (pp. 50 – 59).
- Barnett, M., Landon, B., O'Malley, A., Keating, N., & Christakis, N. (2011). Mapping physician networks with self-reported and administrative data. *Health Services Research*, 46(5), 1592 – 1609.
- Barrot, J.-N. & Sauvagnat, J. (2016). Input specificity and the propagation of idiosyncratic shocks in production networks. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 131(3), 1543 – 1592.
- Beaman, L. A. (2011). Social networks and the dynamics of labour market outcomes: Evidence from refugees resettled in the us. *Review of Economic Studies*, 79(1), 128 – 161.
- Bearman, P. S., Moody, J., & Stovel, K. (2004). Chains of affection: the structure of adolescent romantic and sexual networks. *American Journal of Sociology*, 110(1), 44 – 91.
- Bech, M. L. & Atalay, E. (2010). The topology of the federal funds market. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 389(22), 5223 – 5246.

- Bellio, R. & Varin, C. (2005). A pairwise likelihood approach to generalized linear models with crossed random effects. *Statistical Modelling*, 5(3), 217 – 227.
- Bernard, A. B., Moxnes, A., & Saito, Y. (2018). Geography and firm performance in the japanese production network. *Journal of Political Economy*. RIETI Discussion Paper 14-E-034.
- Bhamidi, S., Bresler, G., & Sly, A. (2011). Mixing time of exponential random graphs. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 21(6), 2146 – 2170.
- Bhattacharya, S. & Bickel, P. J. (2015). Subsampling bootstrap of count features of networks. *Annals of Statistics*, 43(6), 2384 – 2411.
- Bhattacharya, S. & Nain, A. (2011). Horizontal acquisitions and buying power: A product market analysis. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 99(1), 97 – 115.
- Bickel, P., Choi, D., Chang, X., & Zhang, H. (2013). Asymptotic normality of maximum likelihood and its variational approximation for stochastic blockmodels. *Annals of Statistics*, 41(4), 1922 – 1943.
- Bickel, P. J. & Chen, A. (2009). A nonparametric view of network models and newman-girvan and other modularities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(50), 21068 – 21073.
- Bickel, P. J., Chen, A., & Levina, E. (2011). The method of moments and degree distributions for network models. *Annals of Statistics*, 39(5), 2280 – 2301.
- Blitzstein, J. & Diaconis, P. (2011). A sequential importance sampling algorithm for generating random graphs with prescribed degrees. *Internet Mathematics*, 6(4), 489 – 522.
- Bloch, F. & Jackson, M. O. (2006). Definitions of equilibrium in network formation games. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 34(3), 305 – 318.
- Bloch, F. & Jackson, M. O. (2007). The formation of networks with transfers among players. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 113(1), 83 – 110.
- Bloom, N., Schankerman, M., & van Reenen, J. (2013). Identifying technology spillovers and product market rivalry. *Econometrica*, 81(4), 1347 – 1393.
- Blume, L. (1993). The statistical mechanics of strategic interaction. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 5, 387–424.

- Blume, L. E., Brock, W. A., Durlauf, S. N., & Ioannides, Y. M. (2011). Identification of social interactions. In J. Benhabib, A. Bisin, & M. O. Jackson (Eds.), *Handbook of Social Economics*, volume 1B (pp. 853 – 964). Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Blume, L. E., Brock, W. A., Durlauf, S. N., & Jayaraman, R. (2015). Linear social interaction models. *Journal of Political Economy*, 123(2), 444 – 496.
- Blundell, R. & Powell, J. L. (2003). *Advances in Economics and Econometrics: Theory and Applications, Eighth World Congress*, volume 2, chapter Endogeneity in nonparametric and semiparametric regression models, (pp. 312 – 357). Cambridge University Press.
- Bobollas, B., Janson, S., & Riordan, O. (2007). The phase transition in inhomogenous random graphs. *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 31(1), 3 – 122.
- Bonhomme, S. & Manresa, E. (2015). Grouped patterns of heterogeneity in panel data. *Econometrica*, 83(3), 1147 – 1184.
- Bramoullé, Y., Djebbari, H., & Fortin, B. (2009). Identification of peer effects through social networks. *Journal of Econometrics*, 150(1), 41 – 55.
- Brock, W. & Durlauf, S. (2001). Discrete choice with social interactions. *Review of Economic Studies*, 68, 235–261.
- Brown, B. W. & Newey, W. K. (1998). Efficient semiparametric estimation of expectations. *Econometrica*, 66(2), 453 – 464.
- Callaert, H. & Veraverbeke, N. (1981). The order of the normal approximation for a studentized u-statistic. *Annals of Statistics*, 9(1), 194 – 200.
- Cameron, A. C. & Golotvina, N. (2005). *Estimation of country-pair data models controlling for clustered errors: with international trade applications*. Technical Report 06-13, University of California - Davis.
- Cameron, A. C. & Miller, D. L. (2014). *Robust inference for dyadic data*. Technical report, University of California - Davis.
- Cattaneo, M., Crump, R., & Jansson, M. (2014). Small bandwidth asymptotics for density-weighted average derivatives. *Econometric Theory*, 30(1), 176 – 200.
- Cattelan, M. & Varin, C. (2013). *Advances in Theoretical and Applied Statistics*, chapter A model for correlated paired comparison data, (pp. 167 – 176). Springer-Verlag: Heidelberg.

- Chamberlain, G. (1980). Analysis of covariance with qualitative data. *Review of Economic Studies*, 47(1), 225 – 238.
- Chamberlain, G. (1984). *Handbook of Econometrics*, volume 2, chapter Panel Data, (pp. 1247 – 1318). North-Holland: Amsterdam.
- Chamberlain, G. (1985). *Longitudinal Analysis of Labor Market Data*, chapter Heterogeneity, omitted variable bias, and duration dependence, (pp. 3 – 38). Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.
- Chamberlain, G. (1992). Efficiency bounds for semiparametric regression. *Econometrica*, 60(3), 567 – 596.
- Chandrasekhar, A. (2015). Econometrics of network formation. In Y. Bramoullé, A. Galeotti, & B. Rogers (Eds.), *Oxford Handbook on the Economics of Networks*. Oxford University Press.
- Chatterjee, S. (2017). *Large Deviations for Random Graphs*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer.
- Chatterjee, S. & Dembo, A. (2016). Nonlinear large deviations. *Advances in Mathematics*, 299(20), 396 – 450.
- Chatterjee, S. & Diaconis, P. (2013). Estimating and understanding exponential random graph models. *Annals of Statistics*, 41(5), 2428 – 2461.
- Chatterjee, S., Diaconis, P., & Sly, A. (2011). Random graphs with a given degree sequence. *Annals of Applied Probability*, 21(4), 1400 – 1435.
- Chib, S. & Greenberg, E. (1998). Analysis of multivariate probit models. *Biometrika*, 82(2), 347 – 361.
- Choi, T. Y. & Wu, Z. (2009). Triads in supply networks: theorizing buyer-supplier-supplier relationships. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 45(1), 8 – 25.
- Choo, E. & Siow, A. (2006). Who marries whom and why? *Journal of Political Economy*, 114(1), 175 – 201.
- Christakis, N. A., Fowler, J. H., Imbens, G. W., & Kalyanaraman, K. (2010). *An empirical model for strategic network formation*. NBER Working Paper 16039, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Chu, J. S. G. & Davis, G. F. (2016). Who killed the inner circle? the decline of the american corporate interlock network. *American Journal of Sociology*, 122(3), 714 – 754.

- Coleman, J. S. (1988). Social capital in the creation of human capital. *American Journal of Sociology*, 94(S), S95 – S120.
- Conley, T. G. & Udry, C. R. (2010). Learning about a new technology: pineapple in ghana. *American Economic Review*, 100(1), 35 – 69.
- Cox, D. R. & Reid, N. (2004). A note on pseudolikelihood constructed from marginal densities. *Biometrika*, 91(3), 729 – 737.
- Crane, H. (2018). *Probabilistic Foundations of Statistical Network Analysis*. Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- Crane, H. & Towsner, H. (2018). Relatively exchangeable structures. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 83(2), 416 – 442.
- Currarini, S., Jackson, M., & Pin, P. (2009). An economic model of friendship: homophily, minorities and segregation. *Econometrica*, 77(4), 1003 – 1045.
- Daudin, J.-J., Picard, F., & Robin, S. (2008). A mixture model for random graphs. *Statistics and Computing*, 18(2), 173 – 183.
- Davezies, L., d’Haultfoeuille, X., & Guyonvarch, Y. (2019). *Empirical process results for exchangeable arrays*. Technical report, CREST-ENSAE.
- Davis, G. F. (1991). Agents without principles? the spread of the poison pill through the intercorporate network. *Administrative Sciences Quarterly*, 36(4), 583 – 613.
- Davis, G. F. (1996). The significance of board interlocks for corporate governance. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 4(3), 154 – 159.
- De Benedictis, L., Nenci, S., Santoni, G., Tajoli, L., & Vicarelli, C. (2014). Network analysis of world trade using the baci-cepii dataset. *Global Economy Journal*, 14(3-4), 287–343.
- de Finetti, B. (1931). Funzione caratteristica di un fenomeno aleatorio. *Atti della R. Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, Serie 6. Memorie, Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturale*, 4, 251 – 299.
- de Paula, A. (2013). Econometric analysis of games with multiple equilibria. *Annual Review of Economics*, 5, 107–131.
- de Paula, Á. (2017). *Advances in Economics and Econometrics, Eleventh World Congress*, volume 1, chapter Econometrics of network models, (pp. 268 – 323). Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.

- de Paula, Á., Richards-Shubik, S., & Tamer, E. (2018). Identifying preferences in networks with bounded degree. *Econometrica*, 86(1), 263 – 288.
- De Weerd, J. (2004). *Insurance Against Poverty*, chapter Risk-sharing and endogenous network formation, (pp. 197 – 216). Oxford University Press: Oxford.
- Dhyne, E., Magerman, G., & Rubínova, S. (2015). *The Belgian production network 2002-2012*. Working Paper 288, National Bank of Belgium.
- Diaconis, P. (1977). Finite forms of de finetti’s theorem on exchangeability. *Synthese*, 36(2), 271 – 281.
- Diaconis, P. & Freedman, D. (1980). Finite exchangeable sequences. *Annals of Probability*, 8(4), 745 – 764.
- Diaconis, P., Holmes, S., & Janson, S. (2008). Threshold graph limits and random threshold graphs. *Internet Mathematics*, 5(3), 267 – 320.
- Diaconis, P. & Janson, S. (2008). Graph limits and exchangeable random graphs. *Rendiconti di Matematica*, 28(1), 33 – 61.
- Dooley, P. C. (1969). The interlocking directorate. *American Economic Review*, 59(3), 314 – 323.
- Ductor, L., Fafchamps, M., & Goyal, S. (2014). Social networks and research output. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 96(5), 936 – 948.
- Dzemski, A. (2018). An empirical model of dyadic link formation in a network with unobserved heterogeneity. *Review of Economics and Statistics*. University of Mannheim.
- Efron, B. & Stein, C. (1981). The jackknife estimate of variance. *Annals of Statistics*, 9(3), 586 – 596.
- Erikson, R. S., Pinto, P. M., & Rader, K. T. (2014). Dyadic analysis in international relations: a cautionary tale. *Political Analysis*, 22(4), 457 – 463.
- Fafchamps, M. & Gubert, F. (2007). The formation of risk sharing networks. *Journal of Development Economics*, 83(2), 326 – 350.
- Fafchamps, M. & Lund, S. (2003). Risk sharing networks in rural philippines. *Journal of Development Economics*, 71(2), 261 – 287.

- Fafchamps, M. & Minten, B. (2002). Returns to social network capital among traders. *Oxford Economic Papers*, 54(2), 173 – 206.
- Fee, C. E. & Thomas, S. (2004). Sources of gains in horizontal mergers: evidence from customer, supplier, and rival firms. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 74(3), 423 – 460.
- Fernández-Val, I. & Weidner, M. (2016). Individual and time effects in nonlinear panel data models with large n , t . *Journal of Econometrics*, 192(1), 291 – 312.
- Frank, O. (1979). Moment properties of subgraph counts in stochastic graphs. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 319(1), 207 – 218.
- Frank, O. (1980). Transitivity in stochastic graphs and digraphs. *Journal of Mathematical Sociology*, 7(2), 199 – 213.
- Frank, O. (1988). Triad count statistics. *Discrete Mathematics*, 72(1-3), 141 – 149.
- Frank, O. (1997). Composition and structure of social networks. *Mathematiques, Informatique, et Sciences Humaines*, 137(1), 11 – 24.
- Frank, O. & Snijders, T. A. B. (1994). Estimating the size of hidden populations using snowball sampling. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 10(1), 53 – 67.
- Galichon, A. & Salanie, B. (2017). The econometrics and some properties of separable matching models. *American Economic Review*, 107(5), 251 – 55.
- Gao, C. & Lafferty, J. (2017). *Testing network structuring using relations between small subgraph probabilities*. arxiv 1704.06742, arXiv.
- Gao, C., Lu, Y., & Zhou, H. H. (2015). Rate-optimal graphon estimation. *Annals of Statistics*, 43(6), 2624 – 2652.
- Gaulier, G. & Zignago, S. (2010). *BACI: International trade database at the product-level*. Working Paper 2010-23, CEPII.
- Gofman, M. (2017). Efficiency and stability of a financial architecture with too-interconnected-to-fail institutions. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 124(1), 113 – 146.
- Goldenberg, A., Zheng, A., Fienberg, S. E., & Airolidi, E. M. (2009). A survey of statistical network models. *Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning*, 2(2), 129–333.
- Goldsmith-Pinkham, P. & Imbens, G. W. (2013). Social networks and the identification of peer effects. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 31(3), 253 – 264.

- Goodman, L. (2017). The effect of the affordable care act medicaid expansion on migration. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 36(1), 211 – 238.
- Gould, R. V. & Fernandez, R. M. (1989). Structures of mediation: a formal approach to brokerage in transaction networks. *Sociological Methodology*, 19, 89 – 126.
- Gourieroux, C., Monfort, A., & Renault, E. (1993). Indirect inference. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 8(S), S85 – S118.
- Graham, B. (2008). Identifying social interactions through conditional variance restrictions. *Econometrica*, 76(3), 643–660.
- Graham, B. S. (2011). Efficiency bounds for missing data models with semiparametric restrictions. *Econometrica*, 79(2), 437 – 452.
- Graham, B. S. (2013). Comparative static and computational methods for an empirical one-to-one transferable utility matching model. *Advances in Econometrics*, 31, 153 – 181.
- Graham, B. S. (2015). Methods of identification in social networks. *Annual Review of Economics*, 7(1), 465–485.
- Graham, B. S. (2016). *Homophily and transitivity in dynamic network formation*. NBER Working Paper 22186, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Graham, B. S. (2017). An econometric model of network formation with degree heterogeneity. *Econometrica*, 85(4), 1033 – 1063.
- Graham, B. S. (2018a). Dyadic regression. Lecture Notes.
- Graham, B. S. (2018b). Identifying and estimating neighborhood effects. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 56(2), 450 – 500.
- Graham, B. S. & de Paula, A., Eds. (2020). *The Econometric Analysis of Network Data*. Academic Press.
- Graham, B. S., Imbens, G. W., & Ridder, G. (2010). *Measuring the effects of segregation in the presence of social spillovers: a nonparametric approach*. Working Paper 16499, NBER.
- Graham, B. S., Imbens, G. W., & Ridder, G. (2014). Complementarity and aggregate implications of assortative matching: a nonparametric analysis. *Quantitative Economics*, 5(1), 29 – 66.

- Graham, B. S., Imbens, G. W., & Ridder, G. (2018). Identification and efficiency bounds for the average match function under conditionally exogenous matching. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*.
- Graham, B. S., Niu, F., & Powell, J. L. (2019). *Kernel density estimation for undirected dyadic data*. Technical report, University of California - Berkeley.
- Graham, B. S. & Pelican, A. (2020). *The Econometrics of Social and Economic Networks*, chapter Testing for externalities in network formation using simulation”,. Elsevier: Amsterdam.
- Granovetter, M. S. (1973). The strength of weak ties. *American Journal of Sociology*, 78(6), 1360 – 1380.
- Granovetter, M. S. (1985). Economic action and social structure: the problem of embeddedness. *American Journal of Sociology*, 91(3), 481 – 510.
- Green, A. & Shalizi, C. R. (2017). *Bootstrapping exchangeable random graphs*. Technical Report 1711.00813v1, arXiv.
- Gualdani, C. (forthcoming). An econometric model of network formation with an application to board interlocks between firms. *Journal of Econometrics*.
- Hagedoorn, J. (2002). Inter-firm r & d partnerships: an overview of major trends and patterns since 1960. *Research Policy*, 31(4), 477 – 492.
- Hahn, J. & Newey, W. K. (2004). Jackknife and analytical bias reduction for nonlinear panel data models. *Econometrica*, 72(4), 1295 – 1319.
- Hausman, J. & Taylor, W. (1981). Panel data and unobservable individual effects. *Journal of Econometrics*, 49(6), 1377 – 1398.
- He, R. & Zheng, T. (2013). Estimation of exponential random graph models for large social networks via graph limits. In *Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining (ASONAM)*.
- Heckman, J. J., Smith, J., & Clements, N. (1997). Making the most out of programme evaluations and social experiments: accounting for heterogeneity in programme impacts. *Review of Economic Studies*, 64(4), 487 – 535.

- Heckman, J. J. & Vytlačil, E. J. (2007). *Handbook of Econometrics*, volume 6B, chapter Econometric evaluation of social programs, part I: causal models, structural models and econometric policy evaluation, (pp. 4779 – 4874). North-Holland: Amsterdam.
- Hellmann, T. (2013). On the existence and uniqueness of pairwise stable networks. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 42(1), 211 – 237.
- Helpman, E., Melitz, M., & Rubinstein, Y. (2008). Estimating trade flows: trading partners and trading volumes. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 123(2), 441 – 487.
- Hensvik, L. & Skans, O. N. (2016). Social networks, employee selection, and labor market outcomes. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 34(4), 825 – 867.
- Hilgerdt, F. (1943). The case for multilateral trade. *American Economic Review*, 33(1 (Part 2)), 393 – 407.
- Ho, K. (2009). Insurer-provider networks in the medical care market. *American Economic Review*, 99(1), 393 – 430.
- Hoeffding, W. (1948). A class of statistics with asymptotically normal distribution. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 19(3), 293 – 325.
- Hoff, P., Raftery, A., & Handcock, M. (2002). Latent space approaches to social network analysis. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 97, 1090–1098.
- Holland, P. W. & Leinhardt, S. (1970). A method for detecting structure in sociometric data. *American Journal of Sociology*, 76(3), 492 – 513.
- Holland, P. W. & Leinhardt, S. (1976). Local structure in social networks. *Sociological Methodology*, 7, 1 – 45.
- Holland, P. W. & Leinhardt, S. (1981). An exponential family of probability distributions for directed graphs. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 76(373), 33 – 50.
- Honoré, B. E. & Powell, J. L. (1994). Pairwise difference estimators of censored and truncated regression models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 64(1-2), 241 – 278.
- Hoover, D. N. (1979). *Relations on probability spaces and arrays of random variables*. Technical report, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ.
- Imbens, G. W. & Rubin, D. B. (2015). *Causal Inference for Statistics, Social, and Biomedical Sciences: An Introduction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Imbens, G. W. & Wooldridge, J. M. (2009). Recent developments in the econometrics of program evaluation. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 47(1), 5 – 86.
- Ioannides, Yannis, M. & Loury, L. D. (2004). Job information networks, neighborhood effects, and inequality. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 42(4), 1056 – 1093.
- Isakov, A., Fowler, J. H., Airolidi, E. M., & Christakis, N. A. (2019). The structure of negative social ties in rural village networks. *Sociological Science*, 6(8), 197 – 217.
- Jackson, M. & Watts, A. (2001). The existence of pairwise stable networks. *Seoul Journal of Economics*, 14(3), 299 – 321.
- Jackson, M. O. (2008). *Social and Economic Networks*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Jackson, M. O., Rodriguez-Barraquer, T., & Tan, X. (2012). Social capital and social quilts: network patterns of favor exchange. *American Economic Review*, 102(5), 1857–1897.
- Jackson, M. O. & Rogers, B. W. (2007). Relating network structure to diffusion properties through stochastic dominance. *B.E. Journal of Theoretical Economics*, 7(1), (Advances) Article 6.
- Jackson, M. O., Rogers, B. W., & Zenou, Y. (2017). The economic consequences of social-network structure. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 55(1), 49 – 95.
- Jackson, M. O. & Wolinsky, A. (1996). A strategic model of social and economic networks. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 71(1), 44 – 74.
- Jackson, M. O. & Yariv, L. (2011). *Handbook of Social Economics*, volume 1A, chapter Diffusion, strategic interaction, and social structure, (pp. 645 – 678). North-Holland: Amsterdam.
- Jackson, M. O. & Zenou, Y. (2015). *Handbook of Game Theory*, volume 4, chapter Games on networks, (pp. 95 – 163). North-Holland: Amsterdam.
- Jaffe, A. (1986). Technological opportunity and spillovers of r&d: evidence from firms' patents, profits, and market value. *American Economic Review*, 76(5), 984 – 1001.
- Janson, S., Luczak, T., & Rucinski, A. (2000). *Random Graphs*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

- Janson, S. & Nowicki, K. (1991). The asymptotic distributions of generalized u-statistics with applications to random graphs. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 90(3), 341 – 375.
- Janssen, P. (1994). Weighted bootstrapping of u-statistics. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 38(1), 31–41.
- Jia, P. (2008). What happens when wal-mart comes to town: an empirical analysis of the discount retailing industry. *Econometrica*, 76(6), 1263 – 1316.
- Jochmans, K. (2018). Semiparametric analysis of network formation. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 36(4), 705 – 713.
- Johnsson, I. & Moon, H. R. (2017). *Estimation of peer effects in endogenous social networks: control function approach*. USC-INET Research Paper No. 17-25, University of Southern California.
- Kallenberg, O. (2005). *Probabilistic Symmetries and Invariance Principles*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Keane, M. P. (1994). A computationally practical simulation estimator for panel data. *Econometrica*, 62(1), 95 – 116.
- Kim, D. A., Hwang, A. R., Stafford, D., Hughes, D. A., O’Malley, A. J., Fowler, J. H., & Christakis, N. A. (2015). Social network targeting to maximise population behaviour change: a cluster randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*, 386(9989), 145 – 153.
- Kolaczyk, E. D. (2009). *Statistical Analysis of Network Data*. New York: Springer.
- König, M. D., Liu, X., & Zenou, Y. (2019). R&d networks: theory, empirics and policy implications. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 101(3), 476 – 491.
- Kranton, R. & Minehart, D. (2001). A theory of buyer-seller networks. *American Economic Review*, 91(3), 485–508.
- Krivitsky, P. N., Handcock, M. S., Raftery, A. E., & Hoff, P. D. (2009). Representing degree distributions, clustering, and homophily in social networks with latent cluster random effects models. *Social Networks*, 31(2), 204 – 213.
- Kuersteiner, G. M. (2019). *Limit theorems for data with network structure*. arXiv arXiv:1908.02375, University of Maryland.

- Lehmann, E. L. (1999). *Elements of Large-Sample Theory*. New York: Springer.
- Leung, M. (2015). Two-step estimation of network-formation models with incomplete information. *Journal of Econometrics*, 188(1), 182 – 195.
- Leung, M. (2019). A weak law for moments of pairwise stable networks. *Journal of Econometrics*, 210(2), 310 – 326.
- Leung, M. & Moon, H. R. (2019). *Normal approximation in large network models*. arXiv arXiv:1904.11060, University of Southern California.
- Lindsey, B. G. (1988). Composite likelihood. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 80, 221 – 239.
- Loury, G. C. (2002). *The Anatomy of Racial Inequality*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Lovász, L. (2012). *Large Networks and Graph Limits*, volume 60 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society.
- Lovász, L. & Szegedy, B. (2006). Limits of dense graph sequences. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 96, 933–957.
- Manski, C. F. (1993). Identification of endogenous social effects: the reflection problem,. *Review of Economic Studies*, 60(3), 531 – 542.
- Mao, L. (2018). On causal estimation using u-statistics. *Biometrika*, 105(1), 215 – 220.
- Marsden, P. V. (1987). Core discussion networks of americans. *American Sociological Review*, 52(1), 122 – 131.
- Mayda, A. M. (2010). International migration: a panel data analysis of the determinants of bilateral flows. *Journal of Population Economics*, 23(4), 1249 – 1274.
- McFadden, D. (1974). *Frontiers in Econometrics*, chapter Conditional logit analysis of qualitative choice behavior, (pp. 105 – 142). Academic Press: New York.
- McFadden, D. (1989). A method of simulated moments for estimation of discrete response models without numerical integration. *Econometrica*, 67(5), 995 – 1026.
- McPherson, M., Smith-Lovin, L., & Brashears, M. E. (2006). Social isolation in america: changes in core discussion networks over two decades. *American Sociological Review*, 71(3), 353 – 375.

- Mele, A. (2017). A structural model of dense network formation. *Econometrica*, 85(3), 825 – 850. John Hopkins University.
- Mele, A. & Zhu, L. (2017). *Approximate variational estimation for a model of network formation*. Technical report, John Hopkins University.
- Menzel, K. (2016). Strategic network formation with many agents. New York University.
- Menzel, K. (2017). *Bootstrap with clustering in two or more dimensions*. Technical Report 1703.03043v2, arXiv.
- Milo, R., Shen-Orr, S., Itzkovitz, S., Kashtan, N., Chklovskii, D., & Alon, U. (2002). Network motifs: simple building blocks of complex networks. *Science*, 298(5594), 824 – 827.
- Min, S. (2019). *Network of loyalty programs: a sequential formation*. Technical report, University of California - Berkeley.
- Miyauchi, Y. (2016). Structural estimation of a pairwise stable network with nonnegative externality. *Journal of Econometrics*, 195(2), 224 – 235.
- Monderer, D. & Shapley, L. S. (1996). Potential games. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 14(1), 124 – 143.
- Moreno, J. L. (1934). *Who Shall Survive?* New York: Beacon House.
- Munski, K. (2003). Networks in the modern economy: Mexican migrants in the us labor market. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 118(2), 549 – 599.
- Nadler, C. (2015). Networked inequality: evidence from freelancers. University of California - Berkeley.
- Newey, W. K. & McFadden, D. (1994). *Handbook of Econometrics*, volume 4, chapter Large sample estimation and hypothesis testing, (pp. 2111 – 2245). North-Holland: Amsterdam.
- Newman, M. E. J. (2001). The structure of scientific collaboration networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 98(2), 404 – 409.
- Newman, M. E. J. (2010). *Networks: An Introduction*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Nowicki, K. (1991). Asymptotic distributions in random graphs with applications to social networks. *Statistica Neerlandica*, 45(3), 295 – 325.

- Nowicki, K. & Wierman, J. C. (1988). Subgraph counts in random graphs using incomplete u-statistics methods. *Discrete Mathematics*, 72(1-3), 299 – 310.
- Olhede, S. C. & Wolfe, P. J. (2014). Network histograms and universality of blockmodel approximation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 11(41), 14722 – 14727.
- Orbanz, P. & Roy, D. M. (2015). Bayesian models of graphs, arrays and other exchangeable randoms structures. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 37(2), 437 – 461.
- Ortega, F. & Peri, G. (2013). The effect of income and immigration policies on international migration. *Migration Studies*, 1(1), 47 – 74.
- Ostrovsky, M. (2008). Stability in supply chain networks. *American Economic Review*, 98(3), 897 – 923.
- Owen, A. B. (2007). The pigeonhole bootstrap. *Annals of Applied Statistics*, 1(2), 386 – 411.
- Pakes, A. & Pollard, D. (1989). Simulation and the asymptotics of optimization estimators. *Econometrica*, 57(5), 1027 – 1057.
- Pelican, A. & Graham, B. S. (2019). *Testing for strategic interaction in social and economic network formation*. Technical report, University of California - Berkeley.
- Penrose, M. (2003). *Random Geometric Graphs*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Picard, F., Daudin, J. J., Koskas, M., Schbath, S., & Robin, S. (2008). Assessing the exceptionality of network motifs. *Journal of Computational Biology*, 15(1), 1 – 20.
- Pržulj, N., Corneil, D. G., & Jurisica, I. (2004). Modeling interactome: scale-free or geometric? *Bioinformatics*, 20(18), 3508–3515.
- Qu, X. & Lee, L.-F. (2015). Estimating a spatial autoregressive model with an endogenous spatial weight matrix. *Journal of Econometrics*, 184(2), 209 – 232.
- Ridder, G. & Sheng, S. (2017). *Estimation of large network formation games*. Technical report, University of California - Los Angeles.
- Robins, G., Pattison, P., Kalish, Y., & Lusher, D. (2007a). An introduction to exponential random graph (p^*) models for social networks. *Social Networks*, 29(2), 173 – 191.

- Robins, G., Snijders, T., Wang, P., Handcock, M., & Pattison, P. (2007b). Recent developments in exponential random graph (p^*) models for social networks. *Social Networks*, 29(2), 192 – 215.
- Rose, A. (2005). Which international institutions promote international trade? *Review of International Economics*, 13(4), 682 – 698.
- Rose, A. K. (2004). Do we really know that the wto increases trade? *American Economic Review*, 94(1), 98 – 114.
- Rosenbaum, P. R. (2007). Interference between units in randomized experiments. *Journal of American Statistical Association*, 102(477), 191 – 200.
- Rubin, D. B. (1981). Estimation in parallel randomized experiments. *Journal of Educational Statistics*, 6(4), 377 – 400.
- Russett, B. M. & Oneal, J. R. (2001). *Triangulating Peace: Democracy, Interdependence and International Organizations*. New York: Norton.
- Santos Silva, J. & Tenreyro, S. (2006). The log of gravity. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 88(4), 641 – 658.
- Santos Silva, J. & Tenreyro, S. (2010). Currency unions in prospect and retrospect. *Annual Review of Economics*, 2, 51 – 74.
- Saygin, P. O., Weber, A., & Weynandt, M. A. (2014). *Coworkers, networks and job search outcomes*. Iza dp no. 8174, IZA Institute of Labor Economics.
- Schwartz, A. L. & Sommers, B. D. (2014). Moving for medicaid? recent eligibility expansions did not induce migration from other states. *Health Affairs*, 33(1), 88 – 94.
- Serfling, R. J. (1980). *Approximation Theorems of Mathematical Statistics*. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Serpa, J. C. & Krishnan, H. (2017). The impact of supply chains on firm-level productivity. *Management Science*.
- Shalizi, C. R. (2016). *Lecture 1: Conditionally-independent dyad models*. Lecture note, Carnegie Mellon University.
- Shalizi, C. R. & Rinaldo, A. (2013). Consistency under sampling of exponential random graph models. *Annals of Statistics*, 41(2), 508 – 535.

- Sheng, S. (2014). A structural econometric analysis of network formation games. Mimeo, University of California - Los Angeles.
- Simmel, G. (1908). *Soziologie. Untersuchungen über die formen der vergesellschaftung*. Leipzig: Duncker & Humblot.
- Snijders, T. (2002). Markov chain monte carlo estimation of exponential random graph models. *Journal of Social Structure*, 3(2), 1–40.
- Snijders, T. A. B. & Borgatti, S. P. (1999). Non-parametric standard errors and tests for network statistics. *Connections*, 22(2), 61 – 70.
- Snijders, T. A. B., Pattison, P. E., Robins, G. L., & Handcock, M. S. (2006). New specifications for exponential random graph models. *Sociological Methodology*, 36(1), 99 – 153.
- Tabord-Meehan, M. (2018). Inference with dyadic data: Asymptotic behavior of the dyadic-robust t-statistic. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*.
- Tamer, E. (2003). Incomplete simultaneous discrete response model with multiple equilibria. *Review of Economic Studies*, 70(1), 147 – 165.
- Tarski, A. (1955). A lattice-theoretical fixpoint theorem and its applications. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 5(2), 285 – 309.
- Tinbergen, J. (1962). *Shaping the World Economy: Suggestions for an International Economic Policy*. New York: Twentieth Century Fund.
- Tomasello, M. V., Napoletano, M., Garas, A., & Schweitzer, F. (2017). The rise and fall of r&d networks. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 26(4), 617 – 646.
- Topkis, D. M. (1998). *Supermodularity and Complementarity*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Townsend, R. M. (1994). Risk and insurance in village india. *Econometrica*, 62(3), 539 – 591.
- Udry, C. R. (1994). Risk and insurance in a rural credit market: an empirical investigation in northern nigeria. *Review of Economic Studies*, 61(3), 495 – 526.
- Uetake, K. & Watanabe, Y. (2013). Estimating supermodular games using rationalizable strategies. *Advances in Econometrics*, 31(1), 233 – 247.

- van der Vaart, A. W. (2000). *Asymptotic Statistics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- van Duijn, M. A. J., Snijders, T. A. B., & Zijlstra, B. J. H. (2004). p2: a random effects model with covariates for directed graphs. *Statistica Neerlandica*, 58(2), 234 – 254.
- VanderWeele, T. J. & An, W. (2013). *Handbook of Causal Analysis for Social Research*, chapter Social networks and causal inference, (pp. 353 – 374). Springer: Dordrecht.
- Varin, C., Reid, N., & Firth, D. (2011). An overview of composite likelihood methods. *Statistica Sinica*, 21(1), 5 – 42.
- Volfosky, A. & Airolidi, E. M. (2016). Sharp total variational bounds for finitely exchangeable arrays. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, 114, 54 – 59.
- Wainwright, M. J. & Jordan, M. I. (2008). Graphical models, exponential families, and variational inference. *Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning*, 1(1-2), 1 – 305.
- Wasserman, S. & Faust, K. (1994). *Social Network Analysis: Methods and Applications*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wasserman, S. S. (1977). Random directed graph distributions and the triad census in social networks. *Journal of Mathematical Sociology*, 5(1), 61 – 86.
- Wooldridge, J. M. (2005). *Identification and inference for econometric models*, chapter Unobserved heterogeneity and the estimation of average partial effects, (pp. 27 – 55). Number 3. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.
- Yan, T., Jiang, B., Fienberg, S. E., & Leng, C. (2018). Statistical inference in a directed network model with covariates. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*.
- Zijlstra, B. J. H., van Duijn, M. A. J., & Snijders, T. A. B. (2009). Mcmc estimation for the p_2 network regression model with crossed random effects. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 62(1), 143 – 166.